

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,256	10/20/2003	Mark Beaumont	DB001077-000	3937	
57694 JONES DAY	7590 10/23/2007		EXAMINER		
500 GRANT STREET			MAI, TAN V		
SUITE 3100 PITTSBURGH	, PA 15219-2502		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2193		
				····	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Ni			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/689,256	BEAUMONT, MARK	~			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tan V. Mai	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addres	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU. .136(a). In no event, however, made will apply and will expire SIX (6) ite, cause the application to become	JNICATION. By a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communities ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	August 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 31-37 is/are pending in t	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-13 and 31-37</u> is/are rejected.		•				
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	,				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			404(1)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the b	Examiner, Note the attac	ned Office Action of form F10-1	102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documer						
Copies of the certified copies of the pri		en received in this National Sta	ge			
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	, C	(PTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		<u> </u>				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/689,256

Art Unit: 2193

1. New claims 30-36 have been **renumbered** as claims 31-37 because <u>original</u> <u>claim 30</u> has been canceled.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-13 and 31-37 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 and 31-41 of copending Application No. 10/689,449. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scopes of the inventions are finding a global extrema by separating the set of into odd set and even set.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/689,256

Art Unit: 2193

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 3-13 and 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims recite a method / apparatus for performing a mathematical function.

"[t]o satisfy section 101 requirements, the claim must be for a practical application of the Sec. 101 judicial exception, which can be identified in various ways:

- . The claimed invention "transforms" an article or physical object to a different state or thing.
- . The claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete and tangible result,...".

See "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" OG Date: 22 November 2005.

In order for claims to be statutory, claims must include a practical application with a concrete, useful, and tangible result. However, claims 1, 3-13 and 31-37 merely disclose elements / steps of performing mathematical function without disclosing a practical application with a concrete, useful, and tangible result, as they are preemptive in any application. Therefore, claims 1, 3-13 and 31-37 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/24/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant, in his remarks, argues that:

"[t]he first step in a Section 101 analysis is to determine what the applicant has invented. Here, the applicant has invented and presented in method claim 1 a process. A process defines actions, i.e., a process is an invention that sets forth a series of steps or acts to be performed.

New claim 30 is an apparatus claim directed to an n-dimensional array of <u>processing elements</u> and therefore also falls within the statutory definition of patentable subject matter".

Application/Control Number: 10/689,256

Art Unit: 2193

With respect to the argument, the examiner carefully reviews Applicant's claimed invention. It is noted that Applicant argues a "process"; however, the claims merely disclose elements / steps of performing mathematical function. Therefore, the claims are not limited to a practical application of the mathematical algorithm because the result, a number, is not a real-world result. It is clearly that the invention as recited in the claims is not being applied to appropriate subject matter because the invention merely involves in calculations and manipulations of data in performing a mathematical operation. The claimed invention performs mathematical operation on a list of numbers to produce output results that are also numbers, in accordance with an algorithm, without a practical application for the invention to produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. The claimed invention clearly does not transform an article or physical object to a different state or thing. The result produced by claimed invention is merely number. It does not have a real world value since the claimed invention is not for any practical application, and thus is not useful. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 1, 3-13 and 31-37 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter is proper.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/689,256

Art Unit: 2193

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner